MASSACHUSETTS Blue Cross Blue Shield of Massachusetts is an Independent Licenses of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association

Medical Policy **Biomarkers for the Diagnosis and Cancer Risk Assessment of Prostate Cancer**

Table of Contents

- **Policy: Commercial**
- **Policy: Medicare**
- Authorization Information
- Coding Information •
- **Description** •
- **Policy History** •

Policy Number: 336

BCBSA Reference Number: 2.04.33 (For Plan internal use only)

Related Policies

N/A

Policy Commercial Members: Managed Care (HMO and POS), PPO, and Indemnity

The following biomarkers for the diagnosis of prostate cancer are considered **INVESTIGATIONAL**:

- Kallikrein markers (eg, 4Kscore[™] Test)
- Prostate Health Index (phi)
- Autoantibodies ARF 6, NKX3-1, 5'-UTR-BMI1, CEP 164, 3'-UTR-Ropporin, Desmocollin, AURKAIP-1, and CSNK2A2 (eg, Apifiny)

Single-nucleotide variant testing for cancer risk assessment of prostate cancer is considered INVESTIGATIONAL.

Prior Authorization Information

Inpatient

- For services described in this policy, precertification/preauthorization IS REQUIRED for all products if the procedure is performed inpatient.
- Outpatient
- For services described in this policy, see below for products where prior authorization might be required if the procedure is performed outpatient.

	Outpatient
Commercial Managed Care (HMO and POS)	This is not a covered service.
Commercial PPO and Indemnity	This is not a covered service.

CPT Codes / HCPCS Codes / ICD Codes

- Information Pertaining to All Policies
- References



Inclusion or exclusion of a code does not constitute or imply member coverage or provider reimbursement. Please refer to the member's contract benefits in effect at the time of service to determine coverage or non-coverage as it applies to an individual member.

Providers should report all services using the most up-to-date industry-standard procedure, revenue, and diagnosis codes, including modifiers where applicable.

The following CPT codes are considered investigational for <u>Commercial Members: Managed Care</u> (HMO and POS), PPO, and Indemnity, Medicare Advantage HMO and Medicare Advantage PPO <u>Members:</u>

CPT Codes

CPT codes:	Code Description
	Oncology (prostate), detection of 8 autoantibodies (ARF 6, NKX3-1, 5'-UTR-BMI1,
	CEP 164, 3'-UTR-Ropporin, Desmocollin, AURKAIP-1, CSNK2A2), multiplexed
0021U	immunoassay and flow cytometry serum, algorithm reported as risk score
	Oncology (prostate cancer), FISH analysis of 4 genes (ASAP1, HDAC9, CHD1 and PTEN), needle biopsy specimen, algorithm reported as probability of higher tumor
0053U	grade

The following CPT code is considered investigational for <u>Commercial Members: Managed Care</u> (HMO and POS), PPO, and Indemnity:

CPT Codes

CPT codes:	Code Description
	Oncology (high-grade prostate cancer), biochemical assay of four proteins (Total
	PSA, Free PSA, Intact PSA, and human kallikrein-2 [hK2]), utilizing plasma or serum,
81539	prognostic algorithm reported as a probability score

The above <u>medical necessity criteria MUST</u> be met for the following code to be covered for Medicare HMO Blue and Medicare PPO Blue:

CPT Codes

CPT codes:	Code Description
	Oncology (high-grade prostate cancer), biochemical assay of four proteins (Total
	PSA, Free PSA, Intact PSA, and human kallikrein-2 [hK2]), utilizing plasma or serum,
81539	prognostic algorithm reported as a probability score

Description

PROSTATE CANCER

Prostate cancer is the most common cancer, and the second most common cause of cancer death in men. Prostate cancer is a complex, heterogeneous disease, ranging from microscopic tumors unlikely to be life-threatening to aggressive tumors that can metastasize, leading to morbidity or death. Early localized disease can usually be treated with surgery and radiotherapy, although active surveillance may be adopted in men whose cancer is unlikely to cause major health problems during their lifespan or for whom the treatment might be dangerous. In patients with inoperable or metastatic disease, treatment consists of hormonal therapy and possibly chemotherapy. The lifetime risk of being diagnosed with prostate cancer for men in the U.S. is approximately 16%, while the risk of dying of prostate cancer is 3%.¹. African American men have the highest prostate cancer risk in the U.S.; the incidence of prostate cancer is about 60% higher and the mortality rate is more than 2 to 3 times greater than that of white men.². Autopsy results have suggested that about 30% of men over the age of 55 and 60% of men over the age of 80 who die of other causes have incidental prostate cancer³, indicating that many cases of cancer are unlikely to pose a threat during a man's life expectancy.

Grading

The most widely used grading scheme for prostate cancer is the Gleason system.⁴, It is an architectural grading system ranging from 1 (well-differentiated) to 5 (undifferentiated); the score is the sum of the primary and secondary patterns. A Gleason score of 6 or less is low-grade prostate cancer that usually grows slowly; 7 is an intermediate grade; 8 to 10 is high-grade cancer that grows more quickly. A revised prostate cancer grading system has been adopted by the National Cancer Institute and the World Health Organization.⁵, A cross-walk of these grading systems is shown in Table 1.

Grade Group	Gleason Score (Primary and Secondary Pattern)	Cells
1	6 or less	Well differentiated (low grade)
2	7 (3 + 4)	Moderately differentiated (moderate grade)
3	7 (4 + 3)	Poorly differentiated (high grade)
4	8	Undifferentiated (high grade)
5	9-10	Undifferentiated (high grade)

Table 1. Prostate Cancer Grading Systems

Summary

Various genetic and protein biomarkers are associated with prostate cancer. These tests have the potential to improve the accuracy of differentiating between which men should undergo prostate biopsy and which rebiopsy after a prior negative biopsy. This evidence review addresses these types of tests for cancer risk assessment. Testing to determine cancer aggressiveness after a tissue diagnosis of cancer is addressed in evidence review 2.04.111. Magnetic resonance imaging-targeted biopsy of suspicious lesions is assessed in evidence review 7.01.152.

For individuals who are being considered for an initial prostate biopsy who receive testing for genetic and protein biomarkers of prostate cancer (eg, kallikreins biomarkers and 4Kscore Test, proPSA and Prostate Health Index, TMPRSS fusion genes and MyProstate score, SelectMDx for Prostate Cancer, ExoDx Prostate, Apifiny, PCA3 score, and PanGIA Prostate), the evidence includes systematic reviews, metaanalyses, and primarily observational studies. Relevant outcomes are overall survival, disease-specific survival, test validity, resource utilization, and quality of life. The evidence supporting clinical utility varies by the test but has not been directly shown for any biomarker test. Absent direct evidence of clinical utility, a chain of evidence might be constructed. However, the performance of biomarker testing for directing biopsy referrals is uncertain. While some studies have shown a reduction or delay in biopsy based on testing, a chain of evidence for clinical utility cannot be constructed due to limitations in clinical validity. Test validation populations have included men with a positive digital rectal exam, a prostatespecific antigen level outside of the gray zone (between 3 or 4 ng/mL and 10 ng/mL), or older men for whom the information from test results are less likely to be informative. Many biomarker tests do not have standardized cutoffs to recommend a biopsy. In addition, comparative studies of the many biomarkers are lacking. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals who are being considered for repeat biopsy who receive testing for genetic and protein biomarkers of prostate cancer (eg, PCA3 score, Gene Hypermethylation and ConfirmMDx test, Prostate Core Mitomics Test), the evidence includes systematic reviews and meta-analyses and primarily observational studies. Relevant outcomes are overall survival, disease-specific survival, test validity, resource utilization, and quality of life. The performance of biomarker testing for guiding rebiopsy decisions is lacking. The tests are associated with a diagnosis of prostate cancer and aggressive prostate cancer, but studies on clinical validity are limited and do not compare performance characteristics with standard risk prediction models. Direct evidence supporting clinical utility has not been shown. No data are currently available on physician decisions on rebiopsy or on the longer-term clinical outcomes of men who did not have a biopsy based on test results. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

Policy History

Date	Action		
1/2023	Annual policy review. Description, summary, and references updated. Policy statement unchanged.		
1/2021	Medicare information removed. See MP #132 Medicare Advantage Management for local coverage determination and national coverage determination reference.		
4/2019	Annual policy review. New investigational tests described. Clarified coding information. Effective 4/1/2019.		
1/2019	 Ongoing investigational indications described. For coverage information on the following tests, see medical policy #954, AIM Genetic Testing Management Program and medical policy #957, AIM Genetic Testing Management Program CPT and HCPCS Codes. Effective 1/1/2019. Clarified coding information. Genetic Testing for TMPRSS Fusion Genes in Prostate Cancer (using PCR) 		
	 Genetic Testing for Mitochondrial DNA Mutation Testing (eg, Prostate Core Mitomics Test[™]) 		
	 Candidate Gene Panels PCA3 Testing 		
	 Gene Hypermethylation Testing (eg, ConfirmMDx®). 		
7/2018	Clarified coding information.		
4/2018	Annual policy review. Prostarix test removed from policy and policy statement. Effective 4/1/2018.		
1/2018	Clarified coding information.		
3/2017	Annual medical policy review. New investigational indications described. Clarified coding information. Effective 3/1/2017.		
1/2017	Clarified coding information for the 2017 code changes.		
1/2016	Clarified coding information.		
9/2015	Annual medical policy review. New investigational indications described; title changed. Effective 9/1/2015.		
1/2015	Clarified coding information.		
7/2014	Annual policy review. New references added.		
3/2014	Annual policy review. New references added.		
5/2013	Annual policy review. New references added.		
4/2012	Updated to add new non-covered HCPCS code S3721.		
12/1/2011	New medical policy describing ongoing non-coverage. Effective 12/01/2011.		

Information Pertaining to All Blue Cross Blue Shield Medical Policies

Click on any of the following terms to access the relevant information: <u>Medical Policy Terms of Use</u> <u>Managed Care Guidelines</u> <u>Indemnity/PPO Guidelines</u> <u>Clinical Exception Process</u> <u>Medical Technology Assessment Guidelines</u>

References

- 1. Howlader N, Noone AM, Krapcho M, et al. SEER Cancer Statistics Review, 1975-2014. Bethesda, MD: National Cancer Institute; 2017.
- Odedina FT, Akinremi TO, Chinegwundoh F, et al. Prostate cancer disparities in Black men of African descent: a comparative literature review of prostate cancer burden among Black men in the United States, Caribbean, United Kingdom, and West Africa. Infect Agent Cancer. Feb 10 2009; 4 Suppl 1: S2. PMID 19208207
- 3. Bell KJ, Del Mar C, Wright G, et al. Prevalence of incidental prostate cancer: A systematic review of autopsy studies. Int J Cancer. Oct 01 2015; 137(7): 1749-57. PMID 25821151
- 4. Gleason DF. Classification of prostatic carcinomas. Cancer Chemother Rep. Mar 1966; 50(3): 125-8. PMID 5948714

5. National Cancer Institute. SEER Database.

https://seer.cancer.gov/seerinquiry/index.php?page=view&id=20170036&type=q. Accessed September 19, 2022.

- Hoogendam A, Buntinx F, de Vet HC. The diagnostic value of digital rectal examination in primary care screening for prostate cancer: a meta-analysis. Fam Pract. Dec 1999; 16(6): 621-6. PMID 10625141
- Gosselaar C, Roobol MJ, Roemeling S, et al. The role of the digital rectal examination in subsequent screening visits in the European randomized study of screening for prostate cancer (ERSPC), Rotterdam. Eur Urol. Sep 2008; 54(3): 581-8. PMID 18423977
- Thompson IM, Pauler DK, Goodman PJ, et al. Prevalence of prostate cancer among men with a prostate-specific antigen level or =4.0 ng per milliliter. N Engl J Med. May 27 2004; 350(22): 2239-46. PMID 15163773
- 9. Catalona WJ, Smith DS, Ratliff TL, et al. Measurement of prostate-specific antigen in serum as a screening test for prostate cancer. N Engl J Med. Apr 25 1991; 324(17): 1156-61. PMID 1707140
- Aus G, Bergdahl S, Lodding P, et al. Prostate cancer screening decreases the absolute risk of being diagnosed with advanced prostate cancer--results from a prospective, population-based randomized controlled trial. Eur Urol. Mar 2007; 51(3): 659-64. PMID 16934392
- 11. Buzzoni C, Auvinen A, Roobol MJ, et al. Metastatic Prostate Cancer Incidence and Prostate-specific Antigen Testing: New Insights from the European Randomized Study of Screening for Prostate Cancer. Eur Urol. Nov 2015; 68(5): 885-90. PMID 25791513
- 12. Arnsrud Godtman R, Holmberg E, Lilja H, et al. Opportunistic testing versus organized prostatespecific antigen screening: outcome after 18 years in the Goteborg randomized population-based prostate cancer screening trial. Eur Urol. Sep 2015; 68(3): 354-60. PMID 25556937
- 13. Hugosson J, Carlsson S, Aus G, et al. Mortality results from the Goteborg randomised populationbased prostate-cancer screening trial. Lancet Oncol. Aug 2010; 11(8): 725-32. PMID 20598634
- 14. Schroder FH, Hugosson J, Roobol MJ, et al. Screening and prostate-cancer mortality in a randomized European study. N Engl J Med. Mar 26 2009; 360(13): 1320-8. PMID 19297566
- 15. Wolf AM, Wender RC, Etzioni RB, et al. American Cancer Society guideline for the early detection of prostate cancer: update 2010. CA Cancer J Clin. Mar-Apr 2010; 60(2): 70-98. PMID 20200110
- Rosario DJ, Lane JA, Metcalfe C, et al. Short term outcomes of prostate biopsy in men tested for cancer by prostate specific antigen: prospective evaluation within ProtecT study. BMJ. Jan 09 2012; 344: d7894. PMID 22232535
- 17. Liss M, Ehdaie B, Loeb S, et al. The Prevention and Treatment of the More Common Complications Related to Prostate Biopsy Update. 2012; updated 2016; https://www.auanet.org/guidelines-andquality/guidelines/best-practice-statements-and-whitepapers/prostate-needle-biopsy-complications. Accessed September 19, 2022.
- 18. Lavallee LT, Binette A, Witiuk K, et al. Reducing the Harm of Prostate Cancer Screening: Repeated Prostate-Specific Antigen Testing. Mayo Clin Proc. Jan 2016; 91(1): 17-22. PMID 26688045
- 19. Ruiz-Aragon J, Marquez-Pelaez S. [Assessment of the PCA3 test for prostate cancer diagnosis: a systematic review and meta-analysis]. Actas Urol Esp. Apr 2010; 34(4): 346-55. PMID 20470697
- 20. Mackinnon AC, Yan BC, Joseph LJ, et al. Molecular biology underlying the clinical heterogeneity of prostate cancer: an update. Arch Pathol Lab Med. Jul 2009; 133(7): 1033-40. PMID 19642730
- Partin AW, Brawer MK, Subong EN, et al. Prospective evaluation of percent free-PSA and complexed-PSA for early detection of prostate cancer. Prostate Cancer Prostatic Dis. Jun 1998; 1(4): 197-203. PMID 12496895
- 22. Thompson IM, Ankerst DP, Chi C, et al. Assessing prostate cancer risk: results from the Prostate Cancer Prevention Trial. J Natl Cancer Inst. Apr 19 2006; 98(8): 529-34. PMID 16622122
- 23. van Vugt HA, Roobol MJ, Kranse R, et al. Prediction of prostate cancer in unscreened men: external validation of a risk calculator. Eur J Cancer. Apr 2011; 47(6): 903-9. PMID 21163642
- 24. Rosenkrantz AB, Verma S, Choyke P, et al. Prostate Magnetic Resonance Imaging and Magnetic Resonance Imaging Targeted Biopsy in Patients with a Prior Negative Biopsy: A Consensus Statement by AUA and SAR. J Urol. Dec 2016; 196(6): 1613-1618. PMID 27320841
- Mi C, Bai L, Yang Y, et al. 4Kscore diagnostic value in patients with high-grade prostate cancer using cutoff values of 7.5% to 10%: A meta-analysis. Urol Oncol. Jun 2021; 39(6): 366.e1-366.e10. PMID 33685800

- 26. Russo GI, Regis F, Castelli T, et al. A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis of the Diagnostic Accuracy of Prostate Health Index and 4-Kallikrein Panel Score in Predicting Overall and High-grade Prostate Cancer. Clin Genitourin Cancer. Aug 2017; 15(4): 429-439.e1. PMID 28111174
- Parekh DJ, Punnen S, Sjoberg DD, et al. A multi-institutional prospective trial in the USA confirms that the 4Kscore accurately identifies men with high-grade prostate cancer. Eur Urol. Sep 2015; 68(3): 464-70. PMID 25454615
- Punnen S, Freedland SJ, Polascik TJ, et al. A Multi-Institutional Prospective Trial Confirms Noninvasive Blood Test Maintains Predictive Value in African American Men. J Urol. Jun 2018; 199(6): 1459-1463. PMID 29223389
- 29. Bhattu AS, Zappala SM, Parekh DJ, et al. A 4Kscore Cut-off of 7.5% for Prostate Biopsy Decisions Provides High Sensitivity and Negative Predictive Value for Significant Prostate Cancer. Urology. Feb 2021; 148: 53-58. PMID 33217456
- Stattin P, Vickers AJ, Sjoberg DD, et al. Improving the Specificity of Screening for Lethal Prostate Cancer Using Prostate-specific Antigen and a Panel of Kallikrein Markers: A Nested Case-Control Study. Eur Urol. Aug 2015; 68(2): 207-13. PMID 25682340
- 31. Loeb S, Shin SS, Broyles DL, et al. Prostate Health Index improves multivariable risk prediction of aggressive prostate cancer. BJU Int. Jul 2017; 120(1): 61-68. PMID 27743489
- 32. Konety B, Zappala SM, Parekh DJ, et al. The 4Kscore(R) Test Reduces Prostate Biopsy Rates in Community and Academic Urology Practices. Rev Urol. 2015; 17(4): 231-40. PMID 26839521
- 33. Pecoraro V, Roli L, Plebani M, et al. Clinical utility of the (-2)proPSA and evaluation of the evidence: a systematic review. Clin Chem Lab Med. Jul 01 2016; 54(7): 1123-32. PMID 26609863
- 34. Anyango R, Ojwando J, Mwita C, et al. Diagnostic accuracy of [-2]proPSA versus Gleason score and Prostate Health Index versus Gleason score for the determination of aggressive prostate cancer: a systematic review. JBI Evid Synth. Mar 17 2021; 19(6): 1263-1291. PMID 33741840
- Catalona WJ, Partin AW, Sanda MG, et al. A multicenter study of [-2]pro-prostate specific antigen combined with prostate specific antigen and free prostate specific antigen for prostate cancer detection in the 2.0 to 10.0 ng/ml prostate specific antigen range. J Urol. May 2011; 185(5): 1650-5. PMID 21419439
- Tosoian JJ, Druskin SC, Andreas D, et al. Use of the Prostate Health Index for detection of prostate cancer: results from a large academic practice. Prostate Cancer Prostatic Dis. Jun 2017; 20(2): 228-233. PMID 28117387
- White J, Shenoy BV, Tutrone RF, et al. Clinical utility of the Prostate Health Index (phi) for biopsy decision management in a large group urology practice setting. Prostate Cancer Prostatic Dis. Apr 2018; 21(1): 78-84. PMID 29158509
- Sanda MG, Feng Z, Howard DH, et al. Association Between Combined TMPRSS2:ERG and PCA3 RNA Urinary Testing and Detection of Aggressive Prostate Cancer. JAMA Oncol. Aug 01 2017; 3(8): 1085-1093. PMID 28520829
- 39. Tomlins SA, Day JR, Lonigro RJ, et al. Urine TMPRSS2:ERG Plus PCA3 for Individualized Prostate Cancer Risk Assessment. Eur Urol. Jul 2016; 70(1): 45-53. PMID 25985884
- Ankerst DP, Goros M, Tomlins SA, et al. Incorporation of Urinary Prostate Cancer Antigen 3 and TMPRSS2:ERG into Prostate Cancer Prevention Trial Risk Calculator. Eur Urol Focus. Jan 2019; 5(1): 54-61. PMID 29422418
- Tosoian JJ, Trock BJ, Morgan TM, et al. Use of the MyProstateScore Test to Rule Out Clinically Significant Cancer: Validation of a Straightforward Clinical Testing Approach. J Urol. Mar 2021; 205(3): 732-739. PMID 33080150
- 42. Newcomb LF, Zheng Y, Faino AV, et al. Performance of PCA3 and TMPRSS2:ERG urinary biomarkers in prediction of biopsy outcome in the Canary Prostate Active Surveillance Study (PASS). Prostate Cancer Prostatic Dis. Sep 2019; 22(3): 438-445. PMID 30664734
- 43. Van Neste L, Hendriks RJ, Dijkstra S, et al. Detection of High-grade Prostate Cancer Using a Urinary Molecular Biomarker-Based Risk Score. Eur Urol. Nov 2016; 70(5): 740-748. PMID 27108162
- 44. Haese A, Trooskens G, Steyaert S, et al. Multicenter Optimization and Validation of a 2-Gene mRNA Urine Test for Detection of Clinically Significant Prostate Cancer before Initial Prostate Biopsy. J Urol. Aug 2019; 202(2): 256-263. PMID 31026217
- 45. Hendriks RJ, van der Leest MMG, Israel B, et al. Clinical use of the SelectMDx urinary-biomarker test with or without mpMRI in prostate cancer diagnosis: a prospective, multicenter study in biopsy-naive men. Prostate Cancer Prostatic Dis. Dec 2021; 24(4): 1110-1119. PMID 33941866

- McKiernan J, Donovan MJ, O'Neill V, et al. A Novel Urine Exosome Gene Expression Assay to Predict High-grade Prostate Cancer at Initial Biopsy. JAMA Oncol. Jul 01 2016; 2(7): 882-9. PMID 27032035
- Tutrone R, Donovan MJ, Torkler P, et al. Clinical utility of the exosome based ExoDx Prostate(IntelliScore) EPI test in men presenting for initial Biopsy with a PSA 2-10 ng/mL. Prostate Cancer Prostatic Dis. Dec 2020; 23(4): 607-614. PMID 32382078
- 48. Schipper M, Wang G, Giles N, et al. Novel prostate cancer biomarkers derived from autoantibody signatures. Transl Oncol. Apr 2015; 8(2): 106-11. PMID 25926076
- Wysock JS, Becher E, Persily J, et al. Concordance and Performance of 4Kscore and SelectMDx for Informing Decision to Perform Prostate Biopsy and Detection of Prostate Cancer. Urology. Jul 2020; 141: 119-124. PMID 32294481
- 50. Cui Y, Cao W, Li Q, et al. Evaluation of prostate cancer antigen 3 for detecting prostate cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Sci Rep. May 10 2016; 6: 25776. PMID 27161545
- Rodriguez SVM, Garcia-Perdomo HA. Diagnostic accuracy of prostate cancer antigen 3 (PCA3) prior to first prostate biopsy: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Can Urol Assoc J. May 2020; 14(5): E214-E219. PMID 31793864
- 52. Nicholson A, Mahon J, Boland A, et al. The clinical effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of the PROGENSA(R) prostate cancer antigen 3 assay and the Prostate Health Index in the diagnosis of prostate cancer: a systematic review and economic evaluation. Health Technol Assess. Oct 2015; 19(87): i-xxxi, 1-191. PMID 26507078
- 53. Wei JT, Feng Z, Partin AW, et al. Can urinary PCA3 supplement PSA in the early detection of prostate cancer?. J Clin Oncol. Dec 20 2014; 32(36): 4066-72. PMID 25385735
- 54. Hennenlotter J, Neumann T, Alperowitz S, et al. Age-Adapted Prostate Cancer Gene 3 Score Interpretation - Suggestions for Clinical Use. Clin Lab. Mar 01 2020; 66(3). PMID 32162868
- Vickers AJ, Gupta A, Savage CJ, et al. A panel of kallikrein marker predicts prostate cancer in a large, population-based cohort followed for 15 years without screening. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. Feb 2011; 20(2): 255-61. PMID 21148123
- Ruffion A, Devonec M, Champetier D, et al. PCA3 and PCA3-based nomograms improve diagnostic accuracy in patients undergoing first prostate biopsy. Int J Mol Sci. Aug 29 2013; 14(9): 17767-80. PMID 23994838
- 57. Ruffion A, Perrin P, Devonec M, et al. Additional value of PCA3 density to predict initial prostate biopsy outcome. World J Urol. Aug 2014; 32(4): 917-23. PMID 24500192
- Merdan S, Tomlins SA, Barnett CL, et al. Assessment of long-term outcomes associated with urinary prostate cancer antigen 3 and TMPRSS2:ERG gene fusion at repeat biopsy. Cancer. Nov 15 2015; 121(22): 4071-9. PMID 26280815
- Djavan B, Waldert M, Zlotta A, et al. Safety and morbidity of first and repeat transrectal ultrasound guided prostate needle biopsies: results of a prospective European prostate cancer detection study. J Urol. Sep 2001; 166(3): 856-60. PMID 11490233
- 60. Lujan M, Paez A, Santonja C, et al. Prostate cancer detection and tumor characteristics in men with multiple biopsy sessions. Prostate Cancer Prostatic Dis. 2004; 7(3): 238-42. PMID 15289810
- Stewart GD, Van Neste L, Delvenne P, et al. Clinical utility of an epigenetic assay to detect occult prostate cancer in histopathologically negative biopsies: results of the MATLOC study. J Urol. Mar 2013; 189(3): 1110-6. PMID 22999998
- Partin AW, Van Neste L, Klein EA, et al. Clinical validation of an epigenetic assay to predict negative histopathological results in repeat prostate biopsies. J Urol. Oct 2014; 192(4): 1081-7. PMID 24747657
- Waterhouse RL, Van Neste L, Moses KA, et al. Evaluation of an Epigenetic Assay for Predicting Repeat Prostate Biopsy Outcome in African American Men. Urology. Jun 2019; 128: 62-65. PMID 29660369
- Van Neste L, Partin AW, Stewart GD, et al. Risk score predicts high-grade prostate cancer in DNAmethylation positive, histopathologically negative biopsies. Prostate. Sep 2016; 76(12): 1078-87. PMID 27121847
- 65. Partin AW, VAN Criekinge W, Trock BJ, et al. CLINICAL EVALUATION OF AN EPIGENETIC ASSAY TO PREDICT MISSED CANCER IN PROSTATE BIOPSY SPECIMENS. Trans Am Clin Climatol Assoc. 2016; 127: 313-327. PMID 28066067

- 66. Food and Drug Administration. Summary of Safety and Effectiveness Data. PMA P090026. Quantitative test for determination of [-2]proPSA levels. Silver Spring, MD: Food and Drug Administration; 2012.
- Aubry W, Lieberthal R, Willis A, et al. Budget impact model: epigenetic assay can help avoid unnecessary repeated prostate biopsies and reduce healthcare spending. Am Health Drug Benefits. Jan 2013; 6(1): 15-24. PMID 24991343
- Robinson K, Creed J, Reguly B, et al. Accurate prediction of repeat prostate biopsy outcomes by a mitochondrial DNA deletion assay. Prostate Cancer Prostatic Dis. Jun 2010; 13(2): 126-31. PMID 20084081
- Legisi L, DeSa E, Qureshi MN. Use of the Prostate Core Mitomic Test in Repeated Biopsy Decision-Making: Real-World Assessment of Clinical Utility in a Multicenter Patient Population. Am Health Drug Benefits. Dec 2016; 9(9): 497-502. PMID 28465777
- 70. Leyten GH, Hessels D, Smit FP, et al. Identification of a Candidate Gene Panel for the Early Diagnosis of Prostate Cancer. Clin Cancer Res. Jul 01 2015; 21(13): 3061-70. PMID 25788493
- 71. Xiao K, Guo J, Zhang X, et al. Use of two gene panels for prostate cancer diagnosis and patient risk stratification. Tumour Biol. Aug 2016; 37(8): 10115-22. PMID 26820133
- 72. American Urological Association. Early detection of prostate cancer. 2013 (Reviewed and Validity Confirmed 2018); https://www.auajournals.org/doi/10.1016/j.juro.2013.04.119. Accessed September 19, 2022.
- 73. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN). NCCN clinical practice guidelines in oncology: prostate cancer early detection. http://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/prostate_detection.pdf. Referenced with permission from the NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology (NCCN Guidelines) for NCCN clinical practice guidelines in oncology: prostate cancer early detection V.1.2022. National Comprehensive Cancer Network rights reserved. Accessed September 19, 2022.
- National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). Prostate cancer: diagnosis and management [NG131]. 2019. Updated December 15, 2021; https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ng131/chapter/Recommendations#assessment-and-diagnosis. Accessed September 19, 2022.
- 75. U. S. Preventive Services Task Force. Prostate Cancer: Screening. 2018; https://www.uspreventiveservicestaskforce.org/Page/Document/RecommendationStatementFinal/pro state-cancer-screening1. Accessed September 19, 2022.